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ABSTRACT
Objective: To perform a systematic compilation and meta-analysis of research on the knowledge, attitudes, and opinions of health professionals and students on traditional and complementary medicine (TCM) practices in Turkey.

Methods: This study is a systematic review and meta-analysis study. The literature review on the subject of the research was carried out between February 25 and March 8, 2022. A search was made on Google Scholar in Turkish and English with appropriate keywords for 2000 and later.

Results: A total of 45 studies were identified that met the acceptance criteria. The first three lines of TCM implementations used are, as follows: (i) massage, (ii) herbal therapy, and (iii) acupuncture. The first three lines for the most well-known TCM implementations are, as follows: (i) acupuncture, (ii) herbal therapy, and (iii) massage. The prevalence of the opinion as "TCM should be included in the curriculum of medical and health schools" ranged from 36.7% to 90.4%. The prevalence of the opinion of "It should be applied by evaluating their effectiveness with controlled studies" was between 34.4% and 95.7%. The prevalence of meta-analysis for training on TCM was found to be 21% (95% CI: 14%-29%). The meta-analysis prevalence of participants using any TCM implementation was 45% (95% CI: 57%-54%). The meta-analysis prevalence of knowing TCM implementations was found to be 66% (95% CI: 56%-75%).

Conclusion: There is increasing interest in TCM and concerns remain due to the lack of evidence-based studies. The frequency of negative thinking in physicians is higher than that of other healthcare personnel. However, in general, positive opinions about TCM were found to be dominant over negative opinions. The demand for TCM training and including TCM in school curricula is striking.
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INTRODUCTION

In the definition of traditional medicine, the World Health Organization (WHO) emphasized "based on theory, belief and experience" and "the sum of knowledge, skills, and practices used in the prevention, diagnosis, healing, or treatment". According to this definition, traditional medicine practice does not have to be explainable. WHO uses the terms "complementary medicine" and "alternative medicine" interchangeably. According to the definition, complementary medicine is health care practices that are not part of a country’s traditional medicine practices and are not part of conventional medicine (WHO, 2022). Although the term "alternative medicine" is used in different countries, this term was not preferred in the legal regulation in Turkey. "Traditional and complementary medicine practices regulation" was issued in Turkey in 2014 (Official Gazette of the Republic of Turkey, 2014).

In a systematic review by Frass et al. (2012), it was reported that the use of traditional and complementary medicine (TCM) has increased since 1950 in the USA and around the world, and it is also said that there is a positive approach to TCM in the general population. In the USA, it was reported that 28.9% of
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the general population used at least one TCM practice in 1999 (Barnes et al., 2002).

Various societies have developed different local healing methods that are covered under the broad concept of TCM. African, Chinese, and Indian traditional medicines and methods can be given as examples. In fact, although the term alternative medicine is used for TCM, conventional medicine (or modern medicine) has become new and alternative to these practices with ancient roots. While discussing African traditional medicine in his study, Abdullahi (2011) emphasizes that before the colonial period, TCM was the dominant medical system available to millions of people in Africa. In fact, TCM was the sole source of medical care for a larger proportion of the population in Africa. Colonialism and Western religion and education and the phenomenon of globalization have negatively affected the perception of TCM in Africa, often among the educated elite. Despite this, the demand for and use of TCM implementations continues to increase not only in Africa but in fact all over the world. Therefore, TCM practitioners play an important role in the treatment process, especially in developing countries.

Traditional Chinese medicine, which is one of the basic elements of traditional medicine, has 5,000 years of roots. According to the official sources of the People’s Republic of China, traditional Chinese medicine and pharmacology education is given in 34 higher education institutions throughout the country in 2003. Every medical school that teaches Western medicine has at least one traditional Chinese medicine chair. Today, traditional Chinese medicine is also known and practiced in Europe, North America, and Turkey (Ekmecki, 2018).

Ayurveda is a practice of Indian medicine. It dates back to the 2nd century. The foundations of ayurveda were laid by the ancient schools of Hindu philosophical teachings called Vaisheshika and the school of logic called Nyaya. In “Charaka Samhita” all aspects of ayurvedic medicine are described and “Sushruta Samhita” is a resource that defines the science of surgery (Jaiswal and Williams, 2017).

In fact, TCM implementations can be traced back to prehistoric times. According to the fossil record, the use of plants as medicine can be traced back at least 60,000 years. TCM, which covers various implementations in various cultures around the world, as seen in the examples of China, India, and Africa, is turning into a large industry today. In 2012, the total value of the TCM industry was equivalent to about one-third of the total of China’s pharmaceutical industry (Yuan et al., 2016). The share of traditional medicines in total consumption in Japan has reached up to 83%. However, scientific evidence for TCM is still insufficient. Many practitioners of Western medical science consider such TCM practices to lack credibility. Today, China, the USA, North Korea, India, Japan, Australia, Germany, Norway, Canada, Cuba, and Italy are among the countries that carry out national policies and prepare regulations on TCM. Turkey has been among these countries since 2014. In these countries, the practice is legally limited to physicians and there are hospitals that practice TCM (Bicer and Balıck, 2019).

As in the world, there are deficiencies in terms of legal basis and ethical dimension of TCM practices in Turkey. Arpacı (2021), in his determinations on the practices in Turkey, mentioned that the emergence of legal responsibility for the doctor is more likely in TCM implementations than in conventional medicine. Informed consent comes first among other ethical issues. The prevailing opinions in the treatment phase; first of all, it is the implementation of standard treatment in accordance with conventional medicine. TCM may be considered if standard treatment is not available. If there is the standard treatment, if the physician is a TCM practitioner, he or she may prefer TCM within the scope of “freedom of choice of treatment” and this will not be against the law. However, the treatment needs to be justified and explained to the patient in detail. It is not expected for the physician who applies only conventional medicine to know the TCM implementation that can be applied in that disease and to inform the patient. However, the TCM practitioner physician should also know and explain the treatments offered by conventional medicine while applying the TCM treatment. In the Regulation about TCM that Turkey issued in 2014, it is seen that there is inadequacy in these matters.

As can be seen, it is thought that the inadequacies in the legal and ethical dimensions of TCM practices and in evidence-based scientific research affect the positive approach to TCM in terms of physicians and health personnel.

For this reason, we examined the studies conducted in Turkey on the views and attitudes of health professionals and students on TCM, their level of use, and knowledge. In the literature, we saw that after 2000, the literature on the subject in Turkey had not been systematically reviewed and meta-analyzed before.

The aim of this study was to perform a systematic compilation and meta-analysis of research on the knowledge, attitudes, and opinions of health professionals and students on traditional and complementary medicine (TCM) practices in Turkey.

**MATERIAL AND METHOD**

**Search Strategy**

The literature review on the research topic was carried out between February 25 and March 8, 2022. Searching for studies conducted in Turkish on Google Scholar was made by typing “Geleneksel ve Tamamlayıcı Tip VEYA Tamamlayıcı ve Alternatif Tip VE Hekin VEYA Ogrenci VE Gorus VEYA Bilgi VEYA Tutum VEYA Davranıs” and selecting the year 2000 and later.

Then, search for studies written in English on Google Scholar by typing "Traditional and Complementary Medicine" OR "Complementary and Alternative Medicine" AND "Physician OR Student" AND "View OR Knowledge OR Attitude OR Behavior" AND "in Turkey" and the year 2000 and after was selected.

In order to reach Turkish resources, the Google Scholar database was preferred.

**Inclusion criteria**

1. Cross-sectional studies,
Flow Diagram

A total of 2,020 records were reached, 1,017 with the Turkish search findings and 1,003 with the English search findings on Google Scholar.

According to the exclusion/inclusion criteria

A flowchart has been prepared in accordance with the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses (PRISMA) (Moher et al., 2015) and the PRISMA flow diagram is presented in Figure 1.

Out of total of 2,020 articles written in Turkish and English,
1. 174 non-cross-sectional studies (reviews, prospective, retrospective, experimental, intervention, etc.),
2. 109 congress papers, e-books, news, citations, etc.,
3. 294 of them were not carried out on physicians, dentists or medical or other health school students,
4. 968 of them were not a study that reported opinions, knowledge, attitudes, and behaviors in the field of TCM,
5. 128 of them were not TCM in general, is a study dealing with a specific implementation,
6. Being a study, 295 of which were conducted in a country other than Turkey, and
7. Nine records were eliminated because they were duplications.

Thus, a total of 1,977 records were eliminated. A total of 43 publications were included in the study.

Data Acquisition and Evaluation

The researchers independently reviewed the literature review against predefined exclusion and inclusion criteria. Afterward, the disagreements were discussed. The data has been finalized. A total of 43 studies were identified that met the acceptance criteria. 28 of them were in English and 15 of them were in Turkish. The oldest published article was from 2004. Five of the studies were dissertations and 38 were cross-sectional research articles. A questionnaire form developed by the researchers was used in 34 of the studies. In the remaining studies, it was seen that another scale was used other than the questionnaire form. The descriptive features of the studies that met the acceptance criteria are presented in Table 1, n is number of participants.

Ethical Aspect of Research

In order not to miss the articles that should be included in the literature review, the researchers worked together and made a literature review and the records were finalized by consensus. Ethics Committee approval was not received because it was a systematic review and meta-analysis study.

Definitions and Procedures

The articles accepted for the systematic review were examined and the information presented on using TCM, knowing, and opinion were collected in an excel file. New data were also derived from the findings presented in the studies. For example, if the number of participants and the frequency of users (percentage) were reported, the number of users was calculated.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Thesis/ original article</th>
<th>Place of research</th>
<th>Who are the participants?</th>
<th>Data collection tool</th>
<th>Data collection method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Üzun and Tan (2004)</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>Nursing school of a university, Erzurum</td>
<td>Nursing student</td>
<td>Questionnaire form</td>
<td>Self-filling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koksoy (2008)</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>Turkish</td>
<td>Thesis</td>
<td>University and state hospital, Mersin</td>
<td>Doctor, nurse, midwife</td>
<td>Questionnaire form</td>
<td>Face to face meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kılıç et al. (2009)</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>A military medical faculty, Ankara</td>
<td>Military medical faculty students</td>
<td>Questionnaire form</td>
<td>Self-filling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yıldırım et al. (2010)</td>
<td>972</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>Faculty of nursing and medicine of a university</td>
<td>Medical and nursing students</td>
<td>Questionnaire form</td>
<td>Self-filling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akar et al. (2012)</td>
<td>943</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>Seven medical faculties</td>
<td>Medical students</td>
<td>Questionnaire form</td>
<td>Self-filling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koc et al. (2012)</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>Family health centers, Samsun</td>
<td>Midwives</td>
<td>Questionnaire form</td>
<td>Self-filling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camurdan and Gümüş (2013)</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>A faculty of health, Istanbul</td>
<td>Nursing &amp; midwifery students</td>
<td>Questionnaire form</td>
<td>Self-filling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yurtseven et al. (2015)</td>
<td>729</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>A faculty of medicine, Istanbul</td>
<td>Medical students</td>
<td>Questionnaire form</td>
<td>Self-filling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demirbag et al. (2015)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>Family health centers, Trabzon</td>
<td>Midwives</td>
<td>Holistic complementary and alternative medicines questionnaire</td>
<td>Self-filling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doganay and Tanyeli (2017)</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>Vocational school of health and faculty of medicine,</td>
<td>Medical and health vocational school students</td>
<td>Questionnaire form</td>
<td>Self-filling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gorucu (2018)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Turkish</td>
<td>Thesis</td>
<td>Three education research hospitals, Istanbul</td>
<td>Nurses</td>
<td>Personal information form</td>
<td>Face to face meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doganay (2018)</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>Vocational school of health and faculty of medicine,</td>
<td>Medical and health vocational school students</td>
<td>Questionnaire form</td>
<td>Self-filling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metin et al. (2018)</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>Ankara</td>
<td>Oncology nurses</td>
<td>Questionnaire form</td>
<td>Self-filling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltaci and Koc (2018)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>A faculty of health sciences, Samsun</td>
<td>Nursing and midwifery students</td>
<td>Holistic complementary and alternative medicines questionnaire</td>
<td>Self-filling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kavuncu et al. (2018)</td>
<td>671</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>Faculty of Health Sciences, Erzurum</td>
<td>Nursing, midwifery, and dietetics students</td>
<td>Questionnaire form</td>
<td>Self-filling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pirincici et al. (2018)</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>Faculty of Health Sciences, Elazığ</td>
<td>Nursing students</td>
<td>Questionnaire form</td>
<td>Self-filling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ilhan et al. (2019)</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>Turkish</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>Vocational School of Health Services, Cankiri</td>
<td>Health services vocational school students</td>
<td>Questionnaire form</td>
<td>Self-filling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demir (2019)</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>Turkish</td>
<td>Thesis</td>
<td>Two state hospitals, Istanbul</td>
<td>Physician, nurse, midwife, health officer, and other health personnel</td>
<td>Data information form &amp; attitudes towards integrative complementary &amp; alternative medicine</td>
<td>Self-filling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agan (2019)</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>Turkish</td>
<td>Thesis</td>
<td>Faculty of health sciences of a state university, Istanbul</td>
<td>Faculty of health sciences students</td>
<td>Diagnostic form and attitude scale towards complementary, alternative and modern medicine</td>
<td>Self-filling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altıntas and Ister (2019)</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>Turkish</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>A health college, Southeastern Anatolia Region</td>
<td>Midwifery and nursing students</td>
<td>Questionnaire form</td>
<td>Self-filling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarman and Uzuntarla (2022)</td>
<td>794</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>Two public hospitals, Ankara and Bingol</td>
<td>Health workers</td>
<td>Holistic complementary and alternative medicines questionnaire</td>
<td>Online</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author(s)</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Language</td>
<td>Thesis/ original article</td>
<td>Place of research</td>
<td>Who are the participants?</td>
<td>Data collection tool</td>
<td>Data collection method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozgunay and Ozcengiz (2019)</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>Turkish</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>Members of Turkish Anesthesiology and Reanimation Association, Turkey in general</td>
<td>Anesthesia lecturers, specialist doctors, and research assistants working throughout Turkey</td>
<td>Questionnaire form</td>
<td>Online</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozyildirim et al. (2019)</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>A faculty of medicine, Istanbul</td>
<td>Medical faculty students</td>
<td>Questionnaire form</td>
<td>Online and face to face</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ayrar et al. (2019)</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>A medical school</td>
<td>Medical faculty non-academic staff</td>
<td>Questionnaire form</td>
<td>Self-filling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solmaz and Altay (2019)</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>Turkish</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>Department of Health Management</td>
<td>Health management department students</td>
<td>Questionnaire form</td>
<td>Face to face meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basatemur et al. (2020)</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>Turkish</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>A faculty of medicine, Malatya</td>
<td>Medical faculty students</td>
<td>Questionnaire form</td>
<td>Self-filling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karahan et al. (2020)</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>A faculty of medicine, Sivas</td>
<td>Medical faculty students</td>
<td>Questionnaire form</td>
<td>Online</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samanci et al. (2020)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>A faculty of medicine, Duzce</td>
<td>Doctors</td>
<td>Questionnaire form</td>
<td>Face to face meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yilmaz et al. (2020)</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>A faculty of health sciences, Izmir</td>
<td>Nursing, physiotherapy and rehabilitation department and nutrition and dietetics students</td>
<td>Questionnaire form, health news perception scale, and attitude scale to use complementary therapies</td>
<td>Self-filling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demir-dora et al. (2020)</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>Two universities, Eastern Black Sea and Eastern Anatolia</td>
<td>Medical faculty students</td>
<td>Questionnaire form</td>
<td>Online</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yigitbas and Bulut (2020)</td>
<td>1158</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>Health school students</td>
<td>Questionnaire form</td>
<td>No information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ege et al. (2020)</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>Turkish</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>Faculty of Dentistry, Adiyaman</td>
<td>Dental students</td>
<td>Questionnaire form</td>
<td>Self-filling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senol et al. (2020)</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>Turkish</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>A faculty of medicine, Afyonkarahisar</td>
<td>Medical faculty students</td>
<td>Questionnaire form</td>
<td>Self-filling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ates and Gungor (2021)</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>Turkish</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>A training and research hospital, Ankara</td>
<td>Pediatricians</td>
<td>Questionnaire form</td>
<td>Face to face meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunduz (2021)</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>Turkish</td>
<td>Thesis</td>
<td>A faculty of medicine, Denizli</td>
<td>Assistant physicians</td>
<td>Questionnaire form</td>
<td>Face to face meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>İkisik et al. (2021)</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>Turkish</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>A training and research hospital, Istanbul</td>
<td>Assistant physicians</td>
<td>Questionnaire form</td>
<td>Self-filling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozsaker (2021)</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>A faculty of nursing, Izmir</td>
<td>Nursing students</td>
<td>Student identification form and attitudes towards integrative complementary and alternative medicine scale</td>
<td>Self-filling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazer et al. (2021)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>Psychiatrists</td>
<td>Questionnaire form</td>
<td>Online</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaya et al. (2021)</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>A medical faculty, Duzce</td>
<td>Medical faculty students</td>
<td>Questionnaire form</td>
<td>Online</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tekin et al. (2021)</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>A training and research hospital, Ankara</td>
<td>Physicians</td>
<td>Questionnaire form, complementary, alternative and conventional medicine attitude scale Turkish version</td>
<td>Face to face meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozyildirim et al. (2021)</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>Faculty of Health Sciences, Samsun</td>
<td>Faculty of health sciences students</td>
<td>Questionnaire form</td>
<td>Self-filling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sahin et al. (2021)</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>Health High School, Rize</td>
<td>Health high school students</td>
<td>Questionnaire form</td>
<td>Face to face meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaylan and Suna Dag (2019)</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>Turkish</td>
<td>Original article</td>
<td>Pediatric clinics, Malatya</td>
<td>Nurses</td>
<td>Questionnaire form</td>
<td>Face to face meeting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Since different questionnaires and scales were used in the studies, the answers to different questions with the same meaning were gathered in one group. Those using any TCM implementation were included in the TCM use prevalence. "Knows TCM implementations at an intermediate or higher level" or "knows or knows well", etc. the answers given were included in the TCM knowledge prevalence.

In studies; a scoring was applied to the implementations in the first three places for using, knowing, and information source. The third place is given one point, the second place is given two points and the first place is given three points. Thus, scores and information sources scores were calculated for the most widely used and known TCM implementations.

If the prevalence of using or knowing TCM was not specified in the studies, but the prevalence was reported for the first rank implementation, this data was accepted as the prevalence of using or knowing.

Prevalence values of the meta-analysis were calculated for using, knowing, and training on TCM.

Opinions on TCM are presented in three groups, as follows:

1. Studies only on physicians and medical students,
2. Studies only on other healthcare personnel and non-medical healthcare students, and
3. studies involving "doctors and healthcare personnel" and "medical students and other healthcare students" together.

Meta-Analytical Methods

Egger’s linear regression test was used to determine whether the study effect sizes and standard errors of the studies included in the meta-analysis were linear. In order to eliminate the publication bias, the common exposure value was recalculated by applying the trim and fill method of Duval and Tweedie (2000). The random-effects model (Paule-Mandel method) was used to determine the variance between studies as well as within-study variance. Cochrane’s Q statistics with degrees of freedom were used to evaluate the heterogeneity of the effect sizes of the studies (k-1), the I^2 statistic to determine the level of heterogeneity, and the τ^2 statistic to determine the true variance between studies. I^2 value, Patsopoulos et al. (2008) suggested using three categories (low heterogeneity below 25%, medium heterogeneity between 25-50%, and high heterogeneity above 50%). In our study, the I^2 value was determined to be over 50%. There is a high level of bias in our study.

According to the publication bias summary statistics table, as a result of the heterogeneity test, the meta-analysis of the study included in the study was found to be heterogeneous because the p-value was less than 0.05 and the Q value was greater than the value corresponding to the df value. Since the I^2 statistical values we used to determine the level of heterogeneity were determined to be over 50%, there is a high level of bias in study and a random-effects model was chosen.

When the statistical values table of the random effect model is examined; effect size was determined as getting an education on TCM (0.213) (estimate)<0.05. We can say that the methods had a significant effect on periods we determined (2017-2021).

![Figure 2. Prevalence of meta-analysis for traditional and complementary medicine use (29 studies in total)](image)

![Figure 3. Prevalence of meta-analysis for traditional and complementary medicine knowledge (32 studies in total)](image)

RESULTS

In three of the 43 studies accepted for systematic review, TCM implementations were identified by participants as follows: 16.3% to 59.8% for “alternative treatment”, and between from 40.2% to 79.7% for “complementary treatment”.

The top three lines of TCM implementations most used in 43 studies are, as follows:

1. Massage (16 points),
2. Herbal therapy (13 points), and
3. Acupuncture (11 points).

The first three ranks of the most well-known TCM implementations in 43 studies are, as follows:

1. Acupuncture (26 points),
2. Herbal therapy (20 points), and
3. Massage (19 points).

Prevalence of meta-analysis for traditional and complementary medicine use (29 studies in total) was found to be 45% (95% CI: 37%-54%) (Figure 2).

Prevalence of meta-analysis for traditional and complementary medicine knowledge (32 studies in total) was found to be 66% (95% CI: 56%-75%) (Figure 3).
The first three ranks of the sources of information about TCM implementations in 43 studies are, as follows:

1. Internet (49 points),
2. Media (newspaper, magazine, television, etc.) (45 points),
3. Doctor or other health personnel (25 points), and
friends or family circle (25 points).

Prevalence of meta-analysis on training in traditional and complementary medicine was found to be 21% (95% CI: 14%-29%) (Figure 4).

The question asked, “Who should do the implementations related to alternative/complementary treatments for the patients first?” This question was answered in six studies. Answers are, as follows: Physicians who were certified in three studies (min: 67.3%, max: 95.6%), everyone who was certified in two studies (59.4% and 66.1%), and every physician (no need certification) in one study 59.4%. Results of a total of 45 studies on opinions about TCM were presented in Table 2.

DISCUSSION

In naming traditional and complementary medicine, nomenclatures such as "folk medicine" and "alternative medicine" are also used. Traditional medicine (TM), according to the definition adopted by the WHO, is the sum of the knowledge, skills, and practices used in medicine, whether explainable or not, based on theories, beliefs, and experiences specific to different cultures (Ung et al., 2017; WHO, 2013). Complementary and alternative medicine is defined as the “supporter of modern medicine practices” (Unal and Dagedviron, 2019; WHO, 2001). In Turkey, the opinion of the Turkish Medical Association that "there is no alternative to medicine" has been effective in the rejection of the nomenclature of "alternative medicine" (Turkish Medical Association, 2017). The word "alternative medicine" in the draft text of the regulation on traditional and complementary medicine practices was not included in the regulation. This regulation entered into force in 2014 (Official Gazette of the Republic of Turkey, 2014). This situation may pose a problem in terms of legal problems that may arise in the future (Sommer and Vatanoglu-Lutz, 2017). In our study, the naming of TCM applications was questioned in three of 45 studies. The naming of the applications was reported with a frequency of 16.3%-59.8% as "alternative medicine" and with a frequency of 40.2%-79.2% as "complementary medicine". It can be said that there is confusion among health professionals in Turkey in terms of naming TCM applications. Also, nomenclature of alternative medicine has not been moved away.

In 43 studies included in our study, it was determined that the most commonly used TCM applications were massage (16 points), herbal therapy (15 points), and acupuncture (11 points). In this study, acupuncture (26 points), herbal therapy (20 points), and massage (19 points) took place in the top three ranks among the most widely used applications. Only the first three rows have changed places among themselves. The fact that the most used and known applications are compatible with each other suggests that applications are learned based on usage rather than theoretical knowledge. In Israel, a study was published in 2001 reporting that homeopathy, relaxation therapy, and reflexology are used most frequently. In the same study, the most frequently used applications in the USA; are spiritual treatments (prayer), vitamins and herbs, and mind/body approaches (Paltiel et al., 2001). In a systematic review of studies from various countries, it was reported that the most commonly used methods are herbal therapy, chiropractic, massage, and homeopathy (Frass et al., 2012). Massage is frequently used and may be known about Turkey being a tourist country. Massage service is widely offered to domestic tourists as well as foreign tourists. The fact that herbal treatments have been applied in Anatolia since ancient times has been reflected in our findings. The first legal regulation in Turkey is acupuncture (Bicer and Balcik, 2019). For this reason, the use of acupuncture may also have taken place in the upper ranks. But, practices such as homeopathy and chiropractic need to be introduced to the public. In a systematic review covering western countries, the prevalence of TCM use varies between 5% and 78% (Frass et al., 2012).

In our study, the meta-analysis prevalence for using TCM applications was 45% (95% CI: 37%-54%). Considering the studies conducted on the general population in Turkey, the prevalence of those who reported using the TCM method at least once in the previous year is over 50%. In a study conducted in a province in the west of Turkey, 58% of the participants reported that they had used the TCM method at least once in the previous year (Aydin et al., 2008). The same prevalence was reported as 70% in a study conducted in eastern Turkey (Tan et al., 2004). In studies conducted on the general population, approximately 50% of the use of TCM has been reported in the USA and England (Frass et al., 2012; Harris et al., 2012). According to the results of studies conducted in 15 countries; reports the prevalence of any TCM use in the last 12 months is between 9.8% and 76%. In studies conducted in Singapore and Australia, the frequency of TCM use during the last 12 months was reported as 76% and 68.9%, respectively (Lim et al., 2005; Xue et al., 2007). The frequency of TCM use in Africa is reported to be 60-70% (Abdullahi, 2011). Since our study included medical doctors and other health professionals, it is acceptable that the frequency of using TCM is slightly lower than that of the general population. However, this finding indicates that approximately one out of every two healthcare professionals in Turkey uses TCM. This is a fairly high prevalence. The results of studies reporting the frequency of TCM use in
healthcare professionals are, as follows: In a study conducted on health planners in Minnesota, the frequency of at least one TCM use was reported as 42% (Gray et al., 2002). In a study conducted on healthcare workers in Egypt, it was reported that 4.12% of the participants usually use TCM and 38.14% sometimes use TCM (about 42% in total) (ElOlemy et al., 2018). The results of the studies are consistent with our study result.

In our study, the prevalence of meta-analysis was found to be 66% (95% CI: 56-75%) for adequate knowledge of TCM applications. This result suggests that two-thirds of health professionals in Turkey have knowledge about TCM. This prevalence value, which can be considered quite well for the general population, indicates that there is a need for education about TCM for healthcare professionals. Because physicians and other health personnel who are conventional medicine practitioners have sufficient knowledge about TCM, it will enable them to be more effective in health education studies for the public. It is important for health professionals to know TCM practices at a better level in order to increase the health literacy of the public, especially in preventive medicine and treatment choices of patients.

In our study, the prevalence value of the meta-analysis was determined as 21% (95% CI: 14%-29%) for those who received training on TCM applications. This suggests that one out of every five healthcare professionals in Turkey has received training in TCM. This value should be considered low for today. In our study, the fact that the internet and the media come before doctors and health institutions in the list of information sources about TCM suggests that there is an inadequacy in education. According to our results presented in Table 2, it is seen that both physicians and non-physician health personnel want to receive training on TCM. In 19 studies included in our study, the opinion of willingness to receive education varies between 21.9% and 85.3%. However, the opinion that TCM courses should be included in the curriculum of medical and other health schools varies between 36.7% and 90.4% in 22 studies. When we evaluate our results together, there is a demand that doctors and other health personnel in Turkey show interest in TCM, want to receive training, and include this training in the curriculum. It is believed that this demand and demand will gradually increase. Currently, certificate programs approved by the Ministry of Health are carried out in order to carry out TCM applications in Turkey (Arpacı, 2021). In the future, it can be expected that certificate programs will be replaced by curricula in medical and health schools. The results of some studies conducted in various countries can be summarized as follows:

In the study conducted on health professionals at Kashan University, Iran, 88.4% of the participants had not received complementary and traditional medicine training before, and 77.8% were interested in learning in this field. In addition, 56% of the participants recommended complementary and traditional therapies to others (Adib-Hajbaghery and Hoseinian, 2014). A qualitative study was conducted on healthcare professionals at five selected hospitals in Malaysia. Almost all participants agreed that TCM should be a part of future education for healthcare professionals (Abuduli et al., 2016). According to the results of the study conducted in Trinidad and Tobago, more than 80% of doctors and other health professionals think that they should be more educated about TCM (Bahall and Legall, 2017). In the Riyadh region of Saudi Arabia, it has been reported that the desire of health professionals to develop knowledge about TCM and to create a positive attitude has increased (AlBedah et al., 2012). In a study conducted on Australian critical care nurses, it was reported that the majority of the participants supported

Table 2. Results of a total of 43 studies about opinions on TCM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opinions on TCM</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Opinions on TCM education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCM training should be provided to medical personnel/They should have knowledge/He wants training</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21.9-85.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training programs on TCM methods should be established and this subject should be included in the curriculum/I would like to establish a TCM Department at the university</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>56.7-90.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Positive opinions on TCM</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCM methods are effective. Therefore, it can be used safely/As effectively as medical treatment</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4.0-82.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCM can be used in conjunction with/additional medical therapy</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>33.9-82.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It should be applied by evaluating their effectiveness with controlled studies</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>34.4-95.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCM methods should be used in patient care and treatment/I use it</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18.7-86.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is a curative field separate from modern medicine</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>41.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is complementary to modern medicine/It is scientific</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16.4-54.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Negative opinions on TCM</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCM adversely affects health, so it should not be used</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.0-36.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is one of the popular cultural tools</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCM is a useless method/practice /It is dangerous/It is not scientific/Delays the actual treatment</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11.7-79.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCM methods should never be used in conjunction with medical treatment</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some practitioners who apply TCM methods benefit from the helplessness of patients through these methods. They see this as a source of income</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14.0-19.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Opinions on TCM management</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is positive that regulation &amp; supervision of TCM methods are among duties of the general directorate of health services</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>69.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCM methods should be covered by the Social Security Institution</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21.9-61.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is already/should be a legal regulation on TCM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I know about TCM regulation</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.2-66.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other opinions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is necessary to question the patients’ use of TCM while taking a history</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.7-85.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. n: How many studies provided information?; %. For opinion min-max percentage
further education on TCM (Cooke et al., 2012). Sewitch et al. (2008) reported in their review study that more than 80% of primary care physicians were willing to increase their knowledge about TCM practices.

In this study, the most used information sources for TCM were the internet and the media, while doctors and other health personnel, friends, and family circles took the third place. The results reported in terms of information sources from various countries are as follows: In the study conducted in the Riyadh region of Saudi Arabia, the primary sources of information for TCM are the mass media (about 60%) and family, relatives, and friends (about 30%), and these are health education institutions (about 15%) (AlBedah et al., 2012). Among medical students in Ghana, the most important source of information for TCM is their relatives and friends (Ameade et al., 2016). In a study from Urmia, Iran, it was reported that families play an important role as a source of acquaintance with complementary and alternative medicine among medical students. In addition, cultural and religious beliefs among students’ family members may force them to choose TCM practices (Sadeghi et al., 2016). As can be seen, doctors and health personnel do not come first among the sources of information for TCM. The social environment and internet, and media are more effective. For this reason, it is important that TCM takes place in medicine and health education both in Turkey and in other countries.

The global increase in demand for TCM has led some medical schools in Western and many Asian countries to include TCM in their curricula (Fenton and Morris, 2003). In the 19 articles included in this systematic review, the frequency of those who want to be trained in TCM or who want to train themselves in vocational training ranged from 21.9% to 85.3%. TCM education programs should be established, and this subject should be included in the curriculum of medical and health schools, or a TCM Department should be established in universities, with 22 articles reporting a frequency of 36.7% to 90.4%. These results show that the demand for training on TCM among physicians and other healthcare professionals is on the rise. In addition, in the near future, TCM courses should be included in the curriculum of medical and health schools instead of certificate programs for TCM practices in Turkey. In a study conducted in the USA, it was reported that in the 1997-1998 academic year, 64% of medical faculties had elective courses for TCM or TCM subjects included in compulsory courses (Wetzel et al., 1998). In a study conducted on US medical students and published in 2011, it was reported that a high-quality TCM-related medical education and research on TCM applications would facilitate the integration of TCM into the medical curriculum (Abbott et al., 2011). A study was conducted in seven medical schools in South Africa. Six of these medical schools taught traditional African medicine and other TCM courses (Chitindingu et al., 2014). Ayat et al. (2019) explained the necessity of increasing scientific research on Persian medicine, which has a history of 7,000 years in Iran, and incorporating TCM courses into the general medical curriculum in Iran. We can say that the approach of healthcare professionals in Turkey regarding the inclusion of TCM in the medical curriculum is in line with global developments.

When positive opinions about TCM are evaluated; "TCM methods are effective. Therefore, it can be used with confidence. It is as effective as medical treatment." opinion was questioned in 21 articles, and results were obtained between 4.0% and 82.1%. Frequencies less than 50% belong to some studies performed on doctors. The frequency of agreeing with this opinion among other health personnel other than doctors is over 50%. The frequency of agreement with the opinion that "TCM is given with medical treatment" is between 33.9% and 82.6%. "The effectiveness of TCM applications should be evaluated with controlled studies." opinion varies between 34.4% and 95.7%. Those considering a treatment area separate from modern medicine were reported as 41% in a study (Ilhan et al., 2019). The frequency of those who think that TCM methods should be used in patient care and treatment or who use them themselves is between 18.7% and 86.5%. The lowest frequency (18.7%) belongs to a study conducted on oncology nurses (Metin et al., 2018). The frequency of those who think that TCM is scientific and complementary to modern medicine and for TCM varies between 16.4% and 54.3%.

When negative opinions about TCM are evaluated; "TCM adversely affects health, so it should not be used." Opinions were reported between 9.0% and 36.1% in only three articles. The high frequency (36.1%) belongs to a study conducted with medical students (Kaya et al., 2021). "It is one of the popular cultural tools." opinion was reported in only one study, and the frequency in health services vocational school students was reported to be very low (9.0%) (Ilhan et al., 2019). The opinion that "TCM is ineffective or dangerous or unscientific" ranged from 11.7% to 79.6%. The high frequency (79.6%) belongs to a study conducted on pediatricians (Ates and Gungor, 2021). "TCM methods should never be used in conjunction with medical treatment." In a study conducted on midwifery nursing students, it was reported as 28.9% (Altinbas and Ister, 2019). "Some practitioners who practice TCM methods take advantage of patients’ desperation through these methods. They see it as a source of income." opinion was reported between 14.0% and 19.7% in three studies.

We can say that the negative opinions, in which we evaluate the positive and negative opinions in general, are more dominant among doctors (and medical students) than other health personnel and students. However, the frequency of positive opinions for all study results is higher than the opinions that have been. These results suggest that health personnel’s interest in TCM applications is increasing, while physicians are more concerned in terms of confidence in the effectiveness of the applications.

The results of the research that report the opinions of health professionals or students from various countries about TCM are as follows: In a qualitative study in Australia, the opinions of 18 healthcare providers about the use of TCM in cancer patients were examined. It was reported that most of the participants did not have sufficient knowledge about TCM and they were concerned about the negative interactions that may occur when TCM is used together with cancer treatments offered by modern medicine. Some healthcare providers have said that the positive effects of TCM are not real but placebo effects (Gall et al., 2019). In a study conducted on 1247 healthcare professionals working at the University Hospital of
Lausanne in Switzerland, personal experience, clinical experience, and evidence from randomized controlled trials were reported as the three most important factors affecting opinions about TCM. Personal experience was more important for nurses and midwives than doctors. Doctors, on the other hand, relied more on the results of randomized controlled trials than nurses and midwives. Those who received training in TCM had a more positive view of TCM than those who did not (Aveni et al., 2017). In a study of 350 students at King Saud bin Abdulaziz University of Health Sciences (KSAU-HS) in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, the majority of students (75.8%) believed that TCM could be used for the mental and spiritual aspects of health and that CAM providers lead a healthy lifestyle. They think that it gives good information about maintaining. About one-third of these students had taken formal coursework or training in TCM and had requests for training.

In our study, it was reported positively that the regulation and supervision of TCM methods with a frequency of approximately 70% are among the duties of the General Directorate of Health Services in a study (Demir, 2019). In three studies, the opinion that TCM practices should be covered by the Social Security Institution was reported between 21.9% and 61.6%. In a study, it was reported that there is a legal regulation regarding TCM in Turkey (Yayan and Suna Dag, 2019). In three studies, it was reported between 7.7% and 85.0% that there is a regulation on TCM in Turkey. These views on TCM management and policies suggest that the legislation and policies developed on TCM among health professionals in Turkey are not sufficiently known. In this study, patients were asked the question of who should do the alternative/complementary treatments first. The predominant answer was “certified doctors”. Today, the most important legal basis for TCM in Turkey is the Regulation on Traditional and Complementary Medicine Practices (Date: 27 October 2014 and No: 29158). 15 TCM applications in Turkey are covered by this regulation:

1. Acupuncture,
2. Apitherapy,
3. Phytotherapy,
4. Hypnosis,
5. Leech application,
6. Homeopathy,
7. Chiropractic,
8. Cup (dry cup and wet cup [Hijama] application),
9. Larva application,
10. Mesotherapy,
11. Prolotherapy,
12. Osteopathy,
13. Ozone application,
14. Reflexology, and
15. Musicotherapy.

TCM practices in Turkey are not covered by the Social Security Institution (Sommer and Vatanoglu-Lutz, 2017). In accordance with the answers given in our study, TCM applications in the relevant Regulation should be performed by certified doctors/dentists. According to the results of this study, studies on the integration of TCM applications with modern medical applications should be continued in the future. TCM education should be included in the curriculum of medical and health schools. The legal basis for TCM should be strengthened, ethical issues should be resolved, and evidence-based research should be continued.

Limitations

The results of this study are related to Turkey only. In the articles included in the study, it was not possible to compare the views on TCM of doctors and other health professionals. Because in some studies, study groups were formed by considering doctors and other health professionals together. In the study, TCM is covered in general. Studies on the treatment of a specific disease (such as cancer) with TCM applications were excluded.

CONCLUSION

There is increasing interest in TCM and concerns remain due to the lack of evidence-based studies. The frequency of negative thinking in physicians is higher than that of other healthcare personnel. However, in general, positive opinions about TCM were found to be dominant over negative opinions. The demand for TCM training and including TCM in school curricula is striking.
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